UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/526,498	09/29/2005	Bernard Bene	07552.0055-00000	7337		
	7590 07/14/201 ENDERSON, FARAE	EXAMINER				
LLP	,	BASS, DIRK R				
	K AVENUE, NW N, DC 20001-4413	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER				
			1797			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/14/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/526,498	BENE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DIRK BASS	1797		

	DIRK BASS		1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she	et with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDI	TION FOR AI	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Alfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 periods:	on the same day as filing ng replies: (1) an amendr opeal (with appeal fee) in	g a Notice of A ment, affidavit compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0	re later than SIX MONTHS f or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (D7(f).	rom the mailing (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lamay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponents shortened statutory period ter than three months after	nding amount o d for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 June 2010. A bridate of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), o Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply mus AMENDMENTS	r any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	n, but prior to the date of	filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further		-		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	elow);	•	•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bappeal; and/or	petter form for appeal by	materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number	r of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a	• •			
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		ce of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 		•	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-17,20-44 and 60-62</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections ary and was not earlier p	under appea resented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10.	tion of the status of the c	claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered	but does NOT place the	application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (solution 13. Other:). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No	o(s)		
	/Krishnan S Primary Exa		nit 1797	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)